

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,214	MODJESKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared M. Bibbee	2161	

All Participants:

(1) Jared M. Bibbee.

(2) Owen J. Gamon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 March 2007

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that independent claims 1, 5, 9, 13, and 17 contained an infinite loop regarding the "IF" statement. Examiner offered a suggestion to fix this issue and indicated that if Applicant corrected the issue the claims would be favorably considered for Allowance. Applicant's representative complied and authorized an Examiner's Amendment upon condition for allowance..